	Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/6	10/647,276 SCHMIDT ET AL.		
Lxammer-initiated interview Summe	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
	Wa	lter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	
All Participants:	Status of Application: before first action on the merits			
(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.		(3)		
(2) Therese A. Hendricks.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 13 January 2006	Ti	me: <u>~3:00</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	representative)		
Part I.				ļ
Rejection(s) discussed: none				
Claims discussed: 28-68				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THI See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL I	NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The exa	miner will provide a wr	ritten summary of the he interview, since th	substance
				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/App	licant's Representative	Signature – if approp	oriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Walter Aughenbaugh notified Applicant's representative that it was unclear which Group Applicant intended to elect in the Response to Restriction Requirement mailed December 6, 2005. Applicant's representative elected Group I, claims 28-44, in this interview.